Interim Strategy

Motion agreed at an All Member Assembly on 12-13 September 2026.

This AMA notes:

1. That our existing strategic guiding motions from July and Sept 2025 are oriented toward work in and around Your Party. Following the decision to deprioritise YP, they no longer provide immediate direction.
2. That we are not in a moment comparable to the Palestine movement or the launch of YP. In such a period, it is even more important that revolutionaries spend their resources and time coherently through a small number of shared priorities.
3. That a year of internal discussion has converged on three areas: the cost of living crisis, the far right in our communities, and the weakness of our foothold in the labour movement.

This AMA believes:

1. That the cost of living crisis has become the settled condition of working-class life in Britain, managed rather than resolved by successive governments and intensified by the war on Iran. Organisation built around cost of living should therefore be durable rather than pegged to a particular political dynamic.
2. That a left attack on Burnham's Labour government is antifascist politics in itself. Labour's administration of austerity and its own racist framing provide fertile ground for the far right. There is currently no left organisation or campaign that attacks the status quo from the left, thereby making it possible for the far right to pull national politics to the right. Antifascism that limits itself to counter-mobilisation allows the far right to claim victimhood without offering a clear alternative.
3. That antifascist street counters remain important. The SUTR popular frontist strategy to gain the greatest numbers possible onto a counter-protest has depoliticised antifascist work, demobilised militants, and often resulted in defeats for the left on the ground.

4. That the organised working class in Britain suffered terrible defeats in the 1980s. It has proved remarkably resilient, with over 6 million union members, over a hundred thousand reps, and more strikes than the organised left can relate to. But it has not recovered from those defeats: strike levels remain historically low; an ageing membership is concentrated in the public sector, privatised industries and pockets of manufacturing; organisation is often hollow; bargaining is restricted to a narrow range of topics; workplace organisation is depoliticised and expectations are low. The left has concentrated on mobilising people who share our ideas but has largely given up on winning majorities to action on our ideas. The inability to involve workers collectively in wider struggles, bringing to bear the potential of their structural position in production and social reproduction, limits our ability to counter the right and project a socialist political alternative.

5. That we as revolutionaries have a responsibility to build and strengthen durable forms of working-class self-organisation capable of developing working class consciousness and power. This goes beyond the traditional workers movement and includes community/housing unions which have a crucial role to play, especially as cost of living struggles intensify.

This meeting resolves:

1. To adopt three guiding areas of work for the coming period, structuring branch activity, publishing and education:

    a. **The permanent cost of living crisis.** Fight on both fronts of incomes and prices, with forces we can strategically work with, politicising the fights as far as possible, whether we are initiating or joining them.

    b. **Community antifascism**. Build coalitions left of SUTR but with broader appeal than direct action groups to confront the far right where they organise, expose what they materially represent and deny them the martyr's position. Alongside this developing and strengthening working-class community institutions that cultivate forms of solidarity that can act as a bulwark against the right, with strong priority given to anti-racist and migrant solidarity work.

    c. **Workplace organisation.** Support rank and file activity in key sectors, raise workplace activity and union membership among our own members, and train new workplace activists.

2. To find ways of organising around these areas that brings them together and connects them with climate, Palestine and broader anti-militarist struggles, as political dynamics key in defining our moment and the terrain of struggle globally.

3. To prioritise forms of mass organising that build long-term organisation, politicise new layers of people, and create the conditions where a serious mass left party can be built.

4. That workplace organising is a standing component of the cadreisation curriculum rather than a separate stream, and that the existing annual plan targets stand as our measure: 95% of members verified in at least one union, 75% in a fraction, and at least twelve members at the industrial weekender who were not already established militants.

5. That progress against the three areas above is reported through the newsletter, IB branch and fraction reports, and SG reports, and that the AMA assesses whether they cohered our activity successfully.